

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,220	07/01/2003	Thomas Bradley Beddard	839-1470 1219	
30024 75	90 04/18/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C./G.E.			KERNS, KEVIN P	
1100 N. GLEBI SUITE 800	E RD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/604,220	BEDDARD ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kevin P. Kerns	1725

	Kevin P. Kerns	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	davit, or other evidence, wo compliance with 37 CFR 41	hich 1.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office act	ion: or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the app	the date of beal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the is	sues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOI	L-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·	·	·			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment ca	nceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	be entered and an explan	nation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be e it or other evidence is nece	entered essary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	Il and/or appellant fails to r	ot be provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered busee NOTE in paragraph 3 (Continuation Sheet). 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance be	ecause:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	n(s)				
13. Other:	1 10/05/00 011 10 1140/1 aper 14	o(3)				
		17				
		Kevin P. Kerns Kerm Ke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725	ma 4/16/05			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/604,220

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the applicants' proposed amendments to independent claims 1 and 7 that include the additional limitation "an elongated open slot extending from a lower end of said core upwardly more than half a height dimension of the core, into said upper body portion" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Kerin Kems 4/16/05

KEVIN KERNS
PRIMARY EXAMINER